

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/939,484	08/24/2001	Fabrice Duprat	1201-CIP-DIV-00	3868
22469 75	590 03/26/2003			
SCHNADER HARRISON SEGAL & LEWIS, LLP 1600 MARKET STREET SUITE 3600			EXAMINER	
			YAEN, CHRISTOPHER H	
PHILADELPH	08/24/2001 08/24/2001 08/24/2003 08/26/2003 SCHNADER HARRISON SEGAL & 1 1600 MARKET STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1642	
			DATE MAILED: 03/26/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/939,484	DUPRAT ET AL.				
. Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Christopher H Yaen	1642				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM						
 THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by states and the period for reply will, by states and the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by states and the period for reply will, by states and the period for reply will. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thi riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO atute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	17 Santambar 2001					
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>1</u> 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) 	This action is non-final.	·				
3) Since this application is in condition for allo		atters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice und Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9-23</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) 9-23 are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ ac	ccepted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)∭ All b)∭ Some * c)∭ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in A	Application No				
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for a l 	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	estic priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).				
a) The translation of the foreign language	provisional application has t	peen received.				
Attachment(s)	. •					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/939,484

Art Unit: 1642

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 9-16, drawn to an isolated protein comprising more than one P domain and having 3,4,5,6 or more transmembrane domains classified in class 530, subclass 350.
 - II. Claims 17 and 22, drawn to a method of screening for a substance, classified in class 435, subclass 7.1.
 - III. Claims 18 and 23, drawn to a substance, classified in class 514, subclass2.
 - IV. Claims 19-21, drawn to a method of identifying genetic polymorphisms, classified in class 435, subclass 6.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions differ one from the other in that they have different chemical formulas, different chemical structures, and different functions.
- 3. Inventions II and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In

Application/Control Number: 09/939,484

Art Unit: 1642

the instant case the different inventions differ one from the other in that the methods are used for different purposes, wherein the methodological steps are different, the outcome is different, and the methods are used to achieve different outcomes.

- 4. Inventions I, III and II, IV are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the methods can be accomplished using a materially different products, such as antibodies or antibody fragments.
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 09/939,484 Page 4

Art Unit: 1642

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher H Yaen whose telephone number is 703-305-3586. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony Caputa can be reached on 703-308-3995. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-4242 for regular communications and 703-305-3014 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

Christopher Yaen Art Unit 1642 March 11, 2003 ANTHOWY C. CAPUTA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600